05547-MSG Document 1 Filed 09/26/14 Page 1 of 7

5547

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ANDREW CAMPBELL and

SANDRA CAMPBELL :

42 Grove Road :

Cromwell, CT 06416 :

Plaintiffs :

v. : CIVIL ACTION NO.

:

ROMARK LOGISTICS OF PA., INC. 100 Cando Expressway (rt 924)

Hazleton, PA 18202
Defendant

COMPLAINT

Jurisdiction

1. The Plaintiffs, Andrew and Sandra Campbell, are individuals and husband and wife who reside at 42 Grove Road, Cromwell, Connecticut 06416. The Plaintiffs are citizens of the State of Connecticut.

- 2. Defendant, Romark Logistics of PA, Inc.(hereinafter referred to as Romark), is a Pennsylvania corporation organized and existing under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania which regularly conducts business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania with an office for service located at 100 Cando Expressway (Rt. 924), Hazelton, Pennsylvania 18202. The Defendant Romark is a citizen of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
- 3. This Court has original diversity and amount in controversy jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1332(a)(1).
- 4. The Plaintiff demands a trial by jury.

Facts

- 5. All times material hereto this Complaint, Defendant Romark, owned, operated, managed, possessed and/or controlled a warehousing, packaging and transportation business and real property located at 100 Cando Expressway (Rt. 924) in Hazelton, Pennsylvania.
- 6. At all times material to this complaint, the Defendant Romark, their servants, agents, employees, contractors and assigns were responsible for any and all snow and/or ice

removal on the property located at 100 Cando Expressway (Rt. 924) in Hazelton, Pennsylvania.

- 7. At all times material to this Complaint the Defendant acted or failed to act by and through their agents, servants, workman and/or employees who were then and there acting within the scope of their authority and course of their employment with the Defendant, in furtherance of the Defendant business and on behalf of the Defendant.
- 8. On or about January 5, 2013 at approximately 3:30 pm, and for sometime prior thereto, Defendants, acting as aforesaid, carelessly and negligently allowed a dangerous and defective condition, to wit an accumulation of ice and/or snow to remain at the premises located at 100 Cando Expressway (Rt. 924) in Hazelton, Pennsylvania.
- 9. On or about January 5, 2013 at approximately 3:30 pm the Plaintiff drove onto the aforesaid premises, possessed and controlled by the Defendant, at the expressed or implied business invitation of the Defendant, parked his employer's truck in the designated parking area and then exited the truck when he was caused to slip and fall on an accumulation of ice and/or snow negligently and carelessly allowed to accumulate on the Defendant's premises in an area the Defendant knew or should have known the Plaintiff and others like him were likely to traverse.
- 10. Said Defendant was responsible for the proper maintenance, including but not limited to snow and/or ice removal of the area in which the Plaintiff parked and slipped and fell and to keep said parking area safe for business invitees such as the Plaintiff.
- 11. On or about January 5, 2013 at approximately 3:30 pm while a business invitee of the Defendant, the Plaintiff Andrew Campbell, did fall by reason of a dangerous and defective condition, an accumulation of ice and/or snow, located in the parking lot area of the Defendant Romark at the aforesaid location causing the Plaintiff, Andrew Campbell to strike his head and suffer the injuries which form the basis for this action.
- 12. The negligence and carelessness of the Defendant Romark acting as aforesaid consisted of the following:
 - a. Allowing and causing a dangerous and defective condition, an accumulation of ice and/or snow to exist in the parking lot of the Defendant Romark's place of business at the aforesaid location, of which they knew or should have known by exercise of reasonable care;
 - b. Failing to correct said dangerous condition of which they knew or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known constituted a danger to persons lawfully on the premises;

- c. Failing to keep and maintain the aforesaid parking lot in a reasonably safe condition for use by business invitees;
- d. Failing to provide and maintain an unobstructed and safe route of travel for the Plaintiff, Andrew Campbell;
- e. Failing to warn the Plaintiff, Andrew Campbell or other business invitees of the existence of said dangerous condition of which Defendant Romark knew or should have known existed;
- f. Failing to inspect said parking lot at reasonable intervals in order to determine the condition thereof;
- g. Disregarding the rights and safety of the Plaintiff, Andrew Campbell, by failing to properly remove ice and/or snow in or about the area where the Plaintiff fell;
- h. Failing to exercise due care under the circumstances by failing to properly remove ice and/or snow in or about an area where the Plaintiff parked his employer's truck;
- i. Violating the applicable ordinances, statutes and codes of the City of Hazelton, and the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania by failing to properly remove ice and/or snow in or about an area where business invitees were allowed to and expected to park; and
- j. In being otherwise careless, reckless and negligent, the particulars of which are presently unknown to the Plaintiffs but which may be learned by discovery procedures provided by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or which may be learned at trial of this cause.
- 12. The aforesaid accident was due solely to the negligence and carelessness of the Defendant Romark, their agents, contractors, employees, servants and/or workmen, acting as aforesaid, and was due in no manner whatsoever to any act or failure to act on part of the Plaintiff, Andrew Campbell.

Count I Plaintiff, Andrew Campbell v. Defendant Romark Logistics of PA, Inc.

- 13. Plaintiff, Andrew Campbell, hereby incorporates by reference hereto all of the allegations contained in the general averments.
- 14. As a result of this accident, Plaintiff, Andrew Campbell has suffered injuries as may be diagnosed by Plaintiff's health care providers which are or may be serious and permanent in nature, including but not limited to concussion, post concussive syndrome, closed head injury, traumatic brain injury, cognitive deficits, headaches, nausea, dizziness, drowsiness, fatigue and confusion all of which injuries have in the past, and may in the future cause Plaintiff great pain and suffering.
- 15. Plaintiff lost the wages of his employment and his earning capacity may have been impaired.

- 16. Solely as a result of the aforesaid, Plaintiff has been and may in the future be prevented from attending his usual duties, occupation and activities to his great financial detriment and loss.
- 17. Plaintiff has been and may in the future be compelled to expend large and various sums of money for medicines and medical care in an attempt to cure in himself of his injuries, to his great financial loss and detriment.
- 18. As direct and reasonable result of the accident aforementioned, Plaintiff has or may hereafter incur other financial expenses which he may otherwise be entitled to recover.
- 19. As a further result of the accident aforementioned, Plaintiff has suffered severe and physical pain, mental anguish and humiliation and he may continue to suffer same for an indefinite time in the future.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Andrew Campbell demands judgment against the Defendant Romark in a sum in excess of Seventy-five Thousand (\$75,000.00) Dollars.

Count II

Plaintiff, Sandra Campbell v. Defendant Romark Logistics of PA, Inc.

- 20. Plaintiff Sandra Campbell, hereby incorporates by reference hereto all of the allegations in the General Averments and Count I of this Complaint.
- 21. Solely as a result of the aforesaid accident Plaintiff, Sandra Campbell, as wife of the Plaintiff, Andrew Campbell, has been deprived of the aid, assistance, society, comfort, companionship, earnings, earning power and consortium of her husband, all of which has been to her great emotional and financial detriment and loss.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Sandra Campbell demands judgment against the Defendant Romark in a sum in excess of Seventy-five Thousand (\$75,000.00) Dollars.

Andrew V. O'Shea, Esq.

avoshea@comcast.net

1500 JFK Boulevard; Suite 1020

Philadelphia, PA 19102 215-561-1010; 215-762-0465(fax)

JS 44 (Rev. 12/12) The JS 44 civil cover sheet a transprovided by local rules of court.	2:14-cv-05	5547-MSG Concrete replace nor	OVER CUMEN supplemen	SHEET 09/	2014 Page 5 f	s required by law, except as	
provided by local rules of court. purpose of initiating the civil do	This form, approved by the	ne Judicial Conterence of TIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF	the United	States in September I	9/4, is required for the use of	the Clerk of Court for the	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Andrew Campbell Sandra Campbell	ener sheet. (DEE mornoc)	TOTAL ON NEXT 1 AGE OF		DEFENDANTS	of Pennsylvania, Inc.		
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Andrew O'Shea, Esq. Law Offices of Andrew O'Shea 1500 JFK Boulevard; Suite 1020; Philadelphia, PA 19102 215-561			-1010	Attorneys (If Known)			
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)	III. CITI	ZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff □ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	(U.S. Government N	,	(Fo	or Diversity Cases Only) Prof This State	IF DEF	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DBF noipal Place	
W. NATURE OF CHIEF				or Subject of a on Country	3	O 6 O 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			FOR	FEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise ☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine Product Liability 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury Medical Malpractice Medical Malpractice 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 385 Property Damage Product Liability PERSONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Othe 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	7 625 E	Orug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 424 USC 157 425 USC 157 426 USC 167 425 USC 167 426 USC 1609 425	☐ 375 False Claims Act ☐ 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 450 Commerce ☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations ☐ 480 Consumer Credit	
	moved from	Remanded from Appellate Court	4 Reinsta Reoper	ned Anothe (specify	er District Litigation		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO		ause:			***		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	DEN	MAND S	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	if demanded in complaint:	
VIII. RELATED CASE	(See instructions):	JUDGE	\		DOCKET NUMBER	\bigcup	
DATE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD FOR OFFICE USE ONLY							
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		SEP	2 6 2014 MAG. JU	DGE	

FORT E ASTERNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of

assignment of opropriate calendar. Miless of Plaintiff: 42 Grove Rock; Crowe	L CT 6641 5547
Address of Defendant: 100 Cando Expressina V	(Rt. 924) Hazelton, PAIBZOS
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: 100 (and Express	Additional Space)
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation	and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)) Yes□ No□
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□ 1/07
RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:
Case Number: Judge	Date Tellimated.
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:	•
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one y	year previously terminated action in this court? Yes□ No.
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior action in this court?	_
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier	Yes No.
terminated action in this court?	Yes□ Not
	•
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil right	
	Yes□ Not
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)	
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
2. □ FELA	2. □ Airplane Personal Injury
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. □ Assault, Defamation
4. □ Antitrust	4. □ Marine Personal Injury
5. □ Patent	5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
6. □ Labor-Management Relations	6. other Personal Injury (Please specify)
7. Civil Rights	7. Products Liability
8. Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases	9. All other Diversity Cases
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify) Premises 11abiliti
11. □ All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify)	<i>'</i>
ARBITRATION CER (Check Appropriate	
I, AND FIELD OS hereby cer	tify:
Pulsuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge an \$150,000,000 exclusive of interest and costs; Relief other than monetary damages is sought	d belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of
	2 05425
DATE:	<u>85435</u>
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if t	Attorney I.D.# here has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending of	or within one year previously terminated action in this court
except as noted above.	
DATE:	SFP 26 2014
Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#
CIV. 609 (5/2012)	

Case 2:14-cv-05547-MSG_Document 1 Filed 09/26/14 Page 7 of 7

MSG

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

ANDORN Campbell Sandra Campbell Roman K Logistics of PA, INC

CIVIL ACTION

14_{no.} 5547

In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Management Track Designation Form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.

SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:

(a)	Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.	()
(b)	Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.	\mathcal{D}
(c)	Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	8
(d)	Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.	()
(e)	Special Management – Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.)	()
(f)	Standard Management – Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks.	()
(1)	A A A	

_

Attorney-at-law

215-561-1010

215-762-0465

avashea0 comcast.net

Telephone

FAX Number

E-Mail Address

(Civ. 660) 10/02